

Exhibit G

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

IN RE CITIGROUP
SECURITIES LITIGATION

No. 07 Civ. 9901 (SHS)

ECF Case

**DECLARATION OF LIONEL Z. GLANCY FILED ON BEHALF OF
GLANCY BINKOW & GOLDBERG LLP
IN SUPPORT OF APPLICATION FOR AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES AND
REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES**

I, LIONEL Z. GLANCY, declare as follows, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746:

1. I am the senior partner of the law firm of Glancy Binkow & Goldberg LLP, which represents plaintiffs in this litigation. I submit this declaration in support of my firm's application for an award of attorneys' fees in connection with services rendered in this case, as well as the reimbursement of expenses incurred by my firm in connection with this litigation.

2. My firm, which served as counsel for plaintiffs Carol Weil and Edward Claus, was actively involved with important aspects of the suit, including, *inter alia*, participating in research and investigation relating to the Amended Consolidated Class Action Complaint, assisting with the researching and drafting of portions of the Amended Consolidated Class Action Complaint, assisting with the drafting of portions of the opposition to the motion to dismiss, assisting lead counsel with the class certification process, including representing our clients in connection with depositions, and assisting lead counsel with the review of extensive documents relating to depositions conducted in connection with the discovery process that occurred in this action. Exhibit 1 attached hereto is a detailed summary indicating the amount of time spent by each attorney and professional support staff of my firm who was involved in this litigation, and the lodestar calculation based on my firm's current billing rates. For personnel

who are no longer employed by my firm, the lodestar calculation is based upon the billing rates for such personnel in his or her final year of employment by my firm. The schedule was prepared from contemporaneous daily time records regularly prepared and maintained by my firm, which are available at the request of the Court. Time expended in preparing this application for fees and reimbursement of expenses has not been included in this request.

3. The hourly rates for the attorneys and professional support staff in my firm included in Exhibit 1 are the same as the regular current rates charged for their services in non-contingent matters and/or which have been accepted in other securities or shareholder litigation.

4. The total number of hours expended on this litigation by my firm through November 27, 2012 is 8,170.48. The total lodestar for my firm is \$3,599,863.40, consisting of \$3,179,686.75 for attorneys' time and \$420,176.65 for professional support staff time.


5. My firm's lodestar figures are based upon the firm's billing rates, which rates do not include charges for expense items. Expense items are billed separately and such charges are not duplicated in my firm's billing rates.

6. As detailed in Exhibit 2, my firm has incurred a total of \$141,941.32 in unreimbursed expenses in connection with the prosecution of this litigation.

7. The expenses incurred in this action are reflected on the books and records of my firm. These books and records are prepared from expense vouchers, check records and other source materials and are an accurate record of the expenses incurred.

8. With respect to the standing of my firm, attached hereto as Exhibit 3 is a brief biography of my firm.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of California, that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 7th day of December, 2012, at Los Angeles, California.



Lionel Z. Glancy

EXHIBIT 1

EXHIBIT 1
In re Citigroup Inc. Securities Litigation
Case No. 07 Civ. 9901 (SHS)

GLANCY BINKOW & GOLDBERG LLP

TIME REPORT

Inception through November 23, 2012

NAME	YEAR OF GRADUATION	HOURS	HOURLY RATE	LODESTAR
Partners				
Lionel Z. Glancy	1987	520.80	745.00	387,996.00
Peter A. Binkow	1994	78.00	725.00	56,500.00
Michael Goldberg	1996	31.35	695.00	21,788.25
Neal A. Dublinsky	1987	456.50	575.00	262,487.50
Of Counsel				
Frederick W. Gerkens, III	1995	134.20	625.00	83,875.00
Other Attorneys				
Richard Wolkoff	1982	1486.50	550.00	817,575.00
Dale MacDiarmid	2001	28.45	525.00	14,936.25
Andy Sohn	2005	498.40	475.00	236,740.00
Kathryn Colson	2001	533.55	475.00	253,436.25
Ann Levin	2007	754.75	400.00	301,900.00
Kymberly A. Robinson	2007	767.75	400.00	307,100.00
Dustin Johnson	2010	891.00	375.00	334,125.00
Coby M. Turner	2009	17.90	375.00	6,712.50
Katherine DenBleyker	2008	269.90	350.00	94,465.00
Professional Support Staff				
Tia Reiss		149.00	295.00	43,955.00
Ashlee Ilewicz		636.00	265.00	168,540.00
Jack Ligman		409.25	265.00	108,451.25
Erin Krikorian		125.55	240.00	30,132.00
Jason Tabuzo		20.25	200.00	4,050.00
J.D. Payne		48.10	180.00	8,658.00
Tiffany Wu		263.43	180.00	47,417.40
Jonathan Zweig		49.85	180.00	8,973.00
TOTAL LODESTAR				3,599,863.40

EXHIBIT 2

EXHIBIT 2
In re Citigroup Inc. Securities Litigation
Case No. 07 Civ. 9901 (SHS)

**GLANCY BINKOW & GOLDBERG LLP
EXPENSE REPORT**

Inception through November 23, 2012

CATEGORY	AMOUNT
On-Line Legal Research	11,833.14
Telephones/Conference Calls	772.36
Postage & Express Mail	1,505.36
Internal Copying	1,432.82
Outside Copying	74.55
Out of Town Travel	24,558.77
Working Meals	1,392.92
Court Reporters and Transcripts	371.40
Litigation Fund	100,000.00
TOTAL EXPENSES:	141,941.32

EXHIBIT 3

GLANCY BINKOW & GOLDBERG LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

New York Office

30 BROAD STREET
SUITE 1401
NEW YORK, NY 10004
TELEPHONE (212) 382-2221
FACSIMILE (212) 382-3944

1925 CENTURY PARK EAST, SUITE 2100
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067

SAN FRANCISCO OFFICE

ONE EMBARCADERO CENTER
SUITE 760
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105
TELEPHONE (415) 972-8160
FACSIMILE (415) 972-8166

FIRM RESUME

Glancy Binkow & Goldberg LLP has represented investors, consumers and employees in federal and state courts throughout the United States for sixteen years. Based in Los Angeles, California and with offices in New York, New York and San Francisco, California, Glancy Binkow & Goldberg has developed expertise prosecuting securities fraud, antitrust and complex commercial litigation. As Lead Counsel or as a member of Plaintiffs' Counsel Executive Committees, Glancy Binkow & Goldberg has recovered in excess of \$1 billion for parties wronged by corporate fraud and malfeasance. The firm's efforts on behalf of individual investors have been the subject of articles in such publications as *The Wall Street Journal*, *The New York Times* and *The Los Angeles Times*.

Appointed as Lead or Co-Lead Counsel by federal judges throughout the United States, Glancy Binkow & Goldberg has achieved significant recoveries for class members, including:

In re Mercury Interactive Corporation Securities Litigation, USDC Northern District of California, Case No. 05-3395, in which Glancy Binkow & Goldberg served as Co-Lead Counsel and achieved a settlement valued at over \$117 million.

In re Real Estate Associates Limited Partnership Litigation, USDC Central District of California, Case No. 98-7035 DDP, in which the firm served as local counsel and plaintiffs achieved a \$184 million jury verdict after a complex six week trial in Los Angeles, California and later settled the case for \$83 million.

In re Lumenis, Ltd. Securities Litigation, USDC Southern District of New York, Case No. 02-CV-1989, in which Glancy Binkow & Goldberg served as Co-Lead Counsel and achieved a settlement valued at over \$20 million.

In re Heritage Bond Litigation, USDC Central District of California, Case No. 02-ML-1475-DT, where as Co-Lead Counsel, Glancy Binkow & Goldberg recovered in excess of \$28 million for defrauded investors and continues to pursue additional defendants.

In re ECI Telecom Ltd. Securities Litigation, USDC Eastern District of Virginia, Case No. 01-913-A, in which Glancy Binkow & Goldberg served as sole Lead Counsel and recovered almost \$22 million for defrauded ECI investors.

Jenson v. First Trust Corporation, USDC Central District of California, Case No. 05-cv-3124-ABC, in which the firm was appointed sole lead counsel and achieved an \$8.5 million settlement in a very difficult case involving a trustee's potential liability for losses incurred by investors in a Ponzi scheme. Kevin Ruf of the firm also successfully defended in the 9th Circuit Court of Appeals the trial court's granting of class certification in this case.

Yaldo v. Airtouch Communications, State of Michigan, Wayne County, Case No. 99-909694-CP, in which Glancy Binkow & Goldberg served as Co-Lead Counsel and achieved a settlement valued at over \$32 million for defrauded consumers.

In re Infonet Services Corporation Securities Litigation, USDC Central District of California, Case No. CV 01-10456 NM, in which as Co-Lead Counsel, Glancy Binkow & Goldberg achieved a settlement of \$18 million.

In re Musicmaker.com Securities Litigation, USDC Central District of California, Case No. 00-02018, a securities fraud class action in which Glancy Binkow & Goldberg was sole Lead Counsel for the Class and recovered in excess of \$13 million.

In re ESC Medical Systems, Ltd. Securities Litigation, USDC Southern District of New York, Case No. 98 Civ. 7530, a securities fraud class action in which Glancy Binkow & Goldberg served as sole Lead Counsel for the Class and achieved a settlement valued in excess of \$17 million.

In re Lason, Inc. Securities Litigation, USDC Eastern District of Michigan, Case No. 99 76079, in which Glancy Binkow & Goldberg was Co-Lead Counsel and recovered almost \$13 million for defrauded Lason stockholders.

In re Inso Corp. Securities Litigation, USDC District of Massachusetts, Case No. 99 10193, a securities fraud class action in which Glancy Binkow & Goldberg served as Co-Lead Counsel for the Class and achieved a settlement valued in excess of \$12 million.

In re National TechTeam Securities Litigation, USDC Eastern District of Michigan, Case No. 97-74587, a securities fraud class action in which Glancy Binkow & Goldberg served as Co-Lead Counsel for the Class and achieved a settlement valued in excess of \$11 million.

In re Ramp Networks, Inc. Securities Litigation, USDC Northern District of California, Case No. C-00-3645 JCS, a securities fraud class action in which Glancy Binkow & Goldberg served as Co-Lead Counsel for the Class and achieved a settlement of nearly \$7 million.

In re Gilat Satellite Networks, Ltd. Securities Litigation, USDC Eastern District of New York, Case No. 02-1510 CPS, a securities fraud class action in which Glancy Binkow & Goldberg served as Co-Lead Counsel for the Class and achieved a settlement of \$20 million.

Taft v. Ackermans (KPNQwest Securities Litigation), USDC Southern District of New York, Case No. 02-CV-07951, a securities fraud class action in which Glancy Binkow & Goldberg served as Co-Lead Counsel for the Class and achieved a settlement worth \$11 million.

Ree v. Procom Technologies, Inc., USDC Southern District of New York, Case No. 02CV7613, a securities fraud class action in which Glancy Binkow & Goldberg served as Co-Lead Counsel for the Class and achieved a settlement of \$2.7 million.

Capri v. Comerica, Inc., USDC Eastern District of Michigan, Case No. 02CV60211 MOB, a securities fraud class action in which Glancy Binkow & Goldberg served as Co-Lead Counsel for the Class and achieved a settlement of \$6.0 million.

Tatz v. Nanophase Technologies Corp., USDC Northern District of Illinois, Case No. 01C8440, a securities fraud class action in which Glancy Binkow & Goldberg served as Co-Lead Counsel for the Class and achieved a settlement of \$2.5 million.

In re Livent, Inc. Noteholders Litigation, USDC Southern District of New York, Case No. 99 Civ 9425, a securities fraud class action in which Glancy Binkow & Goldberg served as Co-Lead Counsel for the Class and achieved a settlement of over \$27 million.

Plumbing Solutions Inc. v. Plug Power, Inc., USDC Eastern District of New York, Case No. CV 00 5553 (ERK) (RML), a securities fraud class action in which Glancy Binkow & Goldberg served as Co-Lead Counsel for the Class and achieved a settlement of over \$5 million.

Schleicher v. Wendt,(Conseco Securities Litigation), USDC Southern District of Indiana, Case No. 02-1332 SEB, a securities fraud class action in which Glancy Binkow & Goldberg served as Lead Counsel for the Class and achieved a settlement of over \$41 million.

Lapin v. Goldman Sachs, USDC Southern District of New York, Case No. 03-0850-KJD, a securities fraud class action in which Glancy Binkow & Goldberg served as Co-Lead Counsel for the Class and achieved a settlement of \$29 million.

Senn v. Sealed Air Corporation, USDC New Jersey, Case No. 03-cv4372, a securities fraud class action, in which the firm acted as co-lead counsel for the Class and achieved a settlement of \$20 million.

Glancy Binkow & Goldberg filed the initial landmark antitrust lawsuit against all of the major NASDAQ market makers and served on Plaintiffs' Counsel's Executive Committee in In re Nasdaq Market-Makers Antitrust Litigation, USDC Southern District of New York, Case No. 94 C 3996 (RWS), MDL Docket No. 1023, which recovered \$900 million for investors in numerous heavily traded Nasdaq issues.

The firm has also previously acted as Class Counsel in obtaining substantial benefits for shareholders in a number of actions, including:

In re F & M Distributors Securities Litigation,
Eastern District of Michigan, Case No. 95 CV 71778 DT (Executive Committee Member) (\$20.25 million settlement)

James F. Schofield v. McNeil Partners, L.P. Securities Litigation,
California Superior Court, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC 133799

Resources High Equity Securities Litigation,
California Superior Court, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC 080254

The firm has served and currently serves as Class Counsel in a number of antitrust class actions, including:

In re Nasdaq Market-Makers Antitrust Litigation,
USDC Southern District of New York, Case No. 94 C 3996 (RWS), MDL Docket No. 1023

In re Brand Name Prescription Drug Antitrust Litigation,
USDC Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division, Case No. 94 C 897

Glancy Binkow & Goldberg LLP has been responsible for obtaining favorable appellate opinions which have broken new ground in the class action or securities fields, or which have promoted shareholder rights in prosecuting these actions. Glancy Binkow & Goldberg successfully argued the appeals in a number of cases.

In Smith v. L'Oreal, 39 Cal.4th 77 (2006), firm partner Kevin Ruf established groundbreaking law when the California Supreme Court agreed with the firm's position that waiting penalties under the California Labor Code are available to *any* employee after termination of employment, regardless of the reason for that termination.

Other notable firm cases are: Silber v. Mabon I, 957 F.2d 697 (9th Cir. 1992) and Silber v. Mabon II, 18 F.3d 1449 (9th Cir. 1994), which are the leading decisions in the Ninth Circuit regarding the rights of opt-outs in class action settlements. In Rothman v. Gregor, 220 F.3d 81 (2d Cir. 2000), Glancy Binkow & Goldberg won a seminal victory for investors before the Second Circuit Court of Appeals, which adopted a more favorable pleading standard for investors in reversing the District Court's dismissal of the investors' complaint. After this successful appeal, Glancy Binkow & Goldberg then recovered millions of dollars for defrauded investors of the GT Interactive Corporation. The firm also argued Falkowski v. Imation Corp., 309 F.3d 1123 (9th Cir. 2002), *as amended*, 320 F.3d 905 (9th Cir. 2003) and favorably obtained the substantial reversal of a lower court's dismissal of a cutting edge, complex class action initiated to seek redress for a group of employees whose stock options were improperly forfeited by a giant corporation in the course of its sale of the subsidiary at which they worked. The revived action is currently proceeding in the California state court system.

The firm is also involved in the representation of individual investors in court proceedings throughout the United States and in arbitrations before the American Arbitration Association, National Association of Securities Dealers, New York Stock Exchange, and Pacific Stock Exchange. Mr. Glancy has successfully represented litigants in proceedings against such major securities firms and insurance companies as A.G. Edwards & Sons, Bear Stearns, Merrill Lynch & Co., Morgan Stanley, PaineWebber, Prudential, and Shearson Lehman Brothers.

One of firm's unique skills is the use of "group litigation" - the representation of groups of individuals who have been collectively victimized or defrauded by large institutions. This type of litigation brought on behalf of individuals who have been similarly damaged often provides an efficient and effective economic remedy that frequently has advantages over the class action or individual action devices. The firm has successfully achieved results for groups of individuals in cases against major corporations such as Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, and Occidental Petroleum Corporation.

Glancy Binkow & Goldberg LLP currently consists of the following attorneys:

THE FIRM'S PARTNERS

LIONEL Z. GLANCY, a graduate of the University of Michigan Law School, is the founding partner of the firm. After serving as a law clerk for United States District Judge Howard McKibben, he began his career as an associate at Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler LLP, concentrating in securities litigation. Thereafter, he started a boutique law firm specializing in securities litigation, and other complex litigation, from the Plaintiff's perspective. Mr. Glancy has established a distinguished career in the field of securities litigation over the last fifteen years, appearing as lead counsel on behalf of aggrieved investors in securities class action cases throughout the country. He has appeared and argued before dozens of district courts and several appellate courts, and has recovered billions of dollars in settlement proceeds for large classes of shareholders. Well known in securities law, he has lectured on its developments and practice at CLE seminars and law schools.

PETER A. BINKOW, a partner in Glancy Binkow & Goldberg, was born in Detroit, Michigan on August 16, 1965. Mr. Binkow earned his degree in English Literature from the University of Michigan in 1988 and attended law school at the University of Southern California (J.D., 1994). Mr. Binkow joined the Law Offices of Lionel Z. Glancy upon graduation and became a partner in 2002.

Mr. Binkow has prosecuted lawsuits on behalf of consumers and investors in state and federal courts throughout the United States. He has served as Lead or Co-Lead Counsel in many class action cases, including In re Mercury Interactive Corp Securities Litigation (\$117.5 million recovery), In re Lumenis Ltd Securities Litigation (\$20.1 million recovery), In re Heritage Bond Litigation (\$28 million recovery), In re National Techteam Securities Litigation (\$11 million recovery), In re Credit Acceptance Corporation Securities Litigation (\$2.5 million recovery), In re Lason Inc. Securities Litigation (\$12.68 million recovery), In re ESC Medical Systems, Ltd. Securities Litigation (\$17 million recovery) In re GT Interactive Securities Litigation (\$3 million recovery) and many others. Mr. Binkow has prepared and/or argued appeals before the Ninth Circuit, Sixth Circuit and Second Circuit Courts of Appeals.

Mr. Binkow is admitted to practice before the state of California, the United States District Courts for the Central, Northern and Southern Districts of California, the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan and the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. He is a member of the Los Angeles County Bar Association and the American Bar Association.

MICHAEL GOLDBERG, a partner in Glancy Binkow & Goldberg, specializes in federal securities, federal and state antitrust, and consumer fraud class action lawsuits. He has successfully litigated numerous cases which resulted in multi-million dollar recoveries for investors, consumers and businesses.

Mr. Goldberg was born in New York on April 27, 1966. He earned his B.A. degree in 1989 from Pitzer College - The Claremont Colleges, and his J.D. degree in 1996 from Thomas M. Cooley Law School. After graduation from law school, Mr. Goldberg joined the Law Offices of Lionel Z. Glancy and became a partner of Glancy Binkow & Goldberg in 2003. He was admitted to both the California and Florida bars in 1997 and is admitted to practice in numerous courts.

SUSAN G. KUPFER, a partner of Glancy Binkow & Goldberg LLP, joined the firm in 2003, where she established its antitrust practice. She is a native of New York City and received her A.B. degree from Mount Holyoke College in 1969 and her J.D. from Boston University School of Law in 1973. She did graduate work at Harvard Law School. In 1977, she was named Assistant Dean and Director of Clinical Programs at Harvard, where she supervised that program of legal practice and taught its related academic components: Introduction to Advocacy (a NITA-style workshop), Lawyering Process and Professional Responsibility.

For much of her legal career, Ms. Kupfer has been a professor of law. She subsequently taught at Hastings College of the Law, Boston University School of Law, Golden Gate University School of

Law and Northeastern University School of Law. From 1991 to 2002, she was a lecturer on law at University of California, Berkeley, Boalt Hall, teaching Civil Procedure and Conflict of Laws. Her areas of academic expertise are Civil Procedure, Federal Courts, Conflict of Laws, Constitutional Law, Legal Ethics and Jurisprudence. Her publications include articles on federal civil rights litigation, legal ethics and jurisprudence. She has also taught various aspects of practical legal and ethical training, including trial advocacy, negotiation and legal ethics, to both law students and practicing attorneys.

Ms. Kupfer previously served as corporate counsel to The Architects Collaborative in Cambridge and San Francisco and was the executive director of the Massachusetts Commission on Judicial Conduct. She returned to the practice of law in San Francisco with Morgenstein & Jubelirer and Berman DeValerio Pease Tabacco Burt & Pucillo before joining the Glancy Firm. Her practice is concentrated in antitrust, securities and consumer complex litigation. She has been a member of the lead counsel team which achieved significant settlements in the following cases: In re Sorbates Antitrust Litigation (\$96.5 million settlement), In re Pillar Point Partners Antitrust Litigation (\$50 million settlement), In re Critical Path Securities Litigation (\$17.5 million settlement).

Ms. Kupfer is a member of the Massachusetts and California State Bars and the United States District Courts for the Northern, Central and Southern districts of California, the District of Massachusetts, the First and Ninth Circuits Courts of Appeal and the U.S. Supreme Court. She was named one of Northern California's Super Lawyers of the Year in 2004, 2005, and 2006 in antitrust litigation.

Ms. Kupfer is currently serving in leadership positions in the following cases:

In re Korean Air Lines Co., Ltd. Antitrust Litigation, U.S.D.C., Central District of California, MDL 1891, No. 07-5107, Interim Co-Lead Counsel

In re: Urethane Antitrust Litigation, U.S.D.C., District of Kansas, No. 2:04-md-01616, Co-Lead Counsel.

In re: Western States Wholesale Natural Gas Antitrust Litigation, U.S.D.C., District of Nevada, No. 2:03-cv-01431, Co-Lead Counsel.

Sullivan et al v. DB Investments, Inc., et al., U.S.D.C., District of New Jersey, No. 3:04-cv-02819, Counsel for Reseller Subclass.

KEVIN F. RUF, a partner in Glancy Binkow & Goldberg LLP, was born in Wilmington, Delaware on December 7, 1961. Mr. Ruf graduated from the University of California at Berkeley in 1984 with a B.A. in Economics and earned his J.D. from the University of Michigan in 1987. Mr. Ruf was admitted to the State Bar of California in 1988. Mr. Ruf was an associate at the Los Angeles firm Manatt Phelps and Phillips from 1988 until 1992, where he specialized in commercial litigation and was a leading trial lawyer among the associates there. In 1993 he joined the firm Corbin & Fitzgerald

in order to gain experience in criminal law. There he specialized in white collar criminal defense work, including matters related to National Medical Enterprises, Cynergy Film Productions and the Estate of Doris Duke. Mr. Ruf joined Glancy Binkow & Goldberg in 2001 and has taken a lead trial lawyer role in many of the firm's cases. In 2006, Mr. Ruf argued before the California Supreme Court in the case *Smith v. L'Oreal* and achieved a unanimous reversal of the lower court rulings; the case established a fundamental right of all California workers to immediate payment of all earnings at the conclusion of employment. In 2007, Mr. Ruf took an important case before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, convincing the Court to affirm the lower court's certification of a class action in a fraud case (fraud cases have traditionally faced difficulty as class actions because of the requirement of individual reliance). Mr. Ruf has extensive trial experience, including jury trials, and considers his courtroom and oral advocacy skills to be his strongest asset as a litigator. Mr. Ruf currently acts as the Head of the Firm's Labor and Consumer Practice, and has extensive experience in Securities cases as well. Mr. Ruf also has experience in real estate law and has been a Licensed California Real Estate Broker since 1999.

MARC L. GODINO has extensive experience successfully litigating complex, class action lawsuits as a plaintiffs' lawyer. Marc has played a primary role in cases resulting in settlements of more than \$100 million. He has prosecuted securities, derivative, merger & acquisition, and consumer cases throughout the country in both State and Federal court as well as represented defrauded investors at FINRA arbitrations. Marc supervises the firm's consumer class action department.

While an associate with Stull Stull & Brody, Marc was one of the two primary attorneys involved in *Small v. Fritz Co.*, 30 Cal. 4th 167 (April 7, 2003) in which the California Supreme Court created new law in the state of California for shareholders that held shares in detrimental reliance on false statements made by corporate officers. The decision was widely covered by national media including The National Law Journal, Los Angeles Times, New York Times, and the New York Law Journal, among others and was heralded as a significant victory for shareholders.

Recent successes with the firm include: *In re Magma Design Automation, Inc. Securities Litigation*, Case No. 05-2394 (N.D.Cal.) (\$13,500,000.00 cash settlement for shareholders); (*In re Hovnanian Enterprises, Inc. Securities Litigation*, Case No. 08-cv-0099 (D.N.J.) (\$4,000,000.00 cash settlement for shareholders); *In re Skilled Healthcare Group, Inc. Securities Litigation*, Case No. 09-5416 (C.D.Cal.) (\$3,000,000.00 cash settlement for shareholders); *In re Youbet.com, Inc. Shareholder Litigation*, Case No. BC426144 (L. A. Sup. Ct.) (settlement provided supplemental disclosures to shareholders in this merger action); *Burth v. MSC Software Corp., et al.*, Case No. 30-2009-00282743 (Orange Cty. Sup. Ct.) (settlement provided supplemental disclosures to shareholders in this merger action); *Shin et al., v. BMW of North America*, 2009 WL 2163509 (C.D.Cal. July 16, 2009) (after defeating a motion to dismiss, the case settled on very favorable terms for class members including free replacement of cracked wheels); *Payday Advance Plus, Inc. v. MIVA, Inc.*, Case No. 06-1923 (S.D.N.Y.) (\$3,936,812 cash settlement for class members); *Villefranche v. HSBC Bank Nevada, N.A.*, Case No. 09-3693 (C.D.Cal.) (after defeating a motion to dismiss, the case resulted in 100% recovery to class members).

Other published decisions include: *In re 2TheMart.com Securities Litigation*, 114 F.Supp 2d 955 (C.D.Ca 2002); *In re Irvine Sensors Securities Litigation*, 2003 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 18397 (C.D.Ca 2003).

The following represent just a few of the cases that Marc is currently litigating in a leadership position:

In re Toyota Motor Corp. Hybrid Brake Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litigation, MDL 02172 (C.D. Ca.), Co-Lead Counsel

In re Stec, Inc. Derivative Litigation, Case No. 10-00667 (C.D. Ca.), Co-Lead Counsel

Sabbag v. Akeena Solar, Inc., et al., Case No. 10-002735 (N.D. Ca.), Co-Lead Counsel

Conroy v. Citibank, N.A., et al., Case No. 10-4930 (C. D. Cal.), Co-Lead Counsel

Marc received his undergraduate degree from Susquehanna University with a bachelor of science degree in Business Management. He received his J.D from Whittier Law School in 1995.

Marc is admitted to practice before the state of California, the United States District Courts for the Central, Northern and Southern Districts of California, the District of Colorado, and the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals.

OF COUNSEL

ROBIN BRONZAFT HOWALD, a native of Brooklyn, New York, returned home in 2001 to open the firm's New York City office. Ms. Howald graduated *magna cum laude* from Barnard College in 1980, with a B.A. in psychology. In 1983, she received her J.D. from Stanford Law School, where she served as an Articles Editor for the Stanford Law Review. In addition to her current focus on securities fraud and consumer class action matters, during her 20-year career Ms. Howald has handled cases in many different practice areas, including commercial disputes, professional malpractice, wrongful termination, bankruptcy, patent and construction matters. As outside counsel for the City of Torrance, California, she also handled a number of civil rights and land use matters, as well as a ground-breaking environmental action concerning Mobil Oil's Torrance refinery. Ms. Howald has experience in pre-trial and trial procedure and has successfully prosecuted post-trial motions and appeals.

Mrs. Howald is a member of the bar of both California (1983) and New York (1995), and is admitted to practice in all federal judicial districts in California, the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, and the United States Supreme Court. She co-authored "Potential Tort Liability in Business Takeovers" (*California Lawyer*, September 1986), was a speaker and contributing author at the Eighth Annual Current Environmental and Natural Resources Issues Seminar at the University of Kentucky College of Law (April 1991), and served as a Judge Pro Tem for the Los Angeles County Small Claims Court (1996-1997). Married in 1985, Mrs. Howald and her husband have two sons. An avid runner, Mrs. Howald has completed six marathons.

EX KANO S. SAMS II earned his Bachelor of Arts degree in Political Science from the University of California Los Angeles in 1993. Mr. Sams earned his Juris Doctor degree from the University of California Los Angeles School of Law in 1996, where he served as a member of the UCLA Law Review. Since graduating from UCLA Law School, he has dedicated his entire career exclusively to representing plaintiffs in large-scale class action and complex civil litigation matters.

After law school, Mr. Sams practiced class action civil rights litigation on behalf of plaintiffs in cases involving employment discrimination, housing discrimination, and sexual harassment. Subsequently, Mr. Sams was a partner at Coughlin Stoia Geller Rudman & Robbins LLP (currently Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP), where his practice focused on securities and consumer class actions. While at Coughlin Stoia and its predecessor, he worked in the firm's San Diego, San Francisco, and Los Angeles offices.

Mr. Sams has served as lead counsel in dozens of securities class actions throughout the country. In one securities fraud class action that he actively litigated, Mr. Sams assisted in a successful appeal before a Fifth Circuit panel that included former United States Supreme Court Justice Sandra Day O'Connor sitting by designation, in which the court vacated the lower court's denial of class certification, reversed the lower court's grant of summary judgment, and issued an important decision on the issue of loss causation in securities litigation: *Alaska Electrical Pension Fund v. Flowserve Corp.*, 572 F.3d 221 (5th Cir. 2009). The case eventually settled for \$55 million. Mr. Sams also worked on a securities fraud class action where lead counsel obtained a settlement that represented approximately 78% of the likely recoverable damages in the case. He has also led large litigation teams in securities class actions and has prepared massive summary judgment oppositions, drafted and argued numerous motions, worked closely with expert witnesses, and has taken and defended dozens of depositions.

Mr. Sams has also successfully represented consumers in class action litigation. Mr. Sams worked on nationwide litigation and a trial against major tobacco companies and in statewide tobacco litigation that resulted in a \$12.5 billion recovery for California cities and counties in a landmark settlement. He also was a principal attorney in a consumer class action against one of the largest banks in the country that resulted in a recovery of over 80% of the compensatory damages and a change in the company's business practices. Additionally, Mr. Sams has also handled several complex environmental matters. Mr. Sams participated in settlement negotiations on behalf of national environmental organizations along with the United States Department of Justice and the Ohio Attorney General's Office that resulted in a consent decree requiring the company to conduct wide-ranging remediation measures to ameliorate the effects of air and water pollution and to pay civil penalties. He also participated in discovery and trial preparation in an unfair business practices action that led to a favorable settlement near the eve of trial providing for monetary relief for a public water provider against the threat of groundwater contamination.

Mr. Sams is admitted to practice law in the State of California. He is also admitted to practice before the United States Courts of Appeals for the Fifth, Sixth, Eighth, Ninth, Tenth, and Eleventh Circuits and before the district courts for the Northern, Southern, Eastern, and Central Districts of California,

the Northern District of Illinois, the Eastern District of Michigan, and the District of Colorado. Mr. Sams is a member of the Los Angeles County Bar Association, the John M. Langston Bar Association, and the Consumer Attorneys of California.

ASSOCIATES

DALE MacDIARMID is a native of Los Angeles, California. He holds a B.A. in Journalism (with Distinction) from the University of Hawaii, and a J.D. from Southwestern University School of Law, where he was a member of the Board of Governors of the Trial Advocacy Honors Program. He is admitted to practice in California, before the United States District Courts for the Southern, Central and Northern Districts of California and the District of Colorado. Dale is a member of Kappa Tau Alpha, the national journalism honor society, and before joining Glancy Binkow & Goldberg he was a writer and editor for newspapers and magazines in Honolulu and Los Angeles.

KARA M. WOLKE graduated summa cum laude with a B.S.B.A. in Economics from The Ohio State University in 2001. Kara earned her J.D. (with honors) from Ohio State in May, 2005, where she was active in Moot Court and received the Dean's Award for Excellence for each of her three years. In 2005, she was a finalist in a national writing competition co-sponsored by the American Bar Association and the Grammy® Foundation. (7 Vand. J. Ent. L. & Prac. 411). Kara joined Glancy Binkow & Goldberg in the fall of 2005 and was admitted to the State Bar of California in January, 2006.

ROBERT V. PRONGAY is an associate in the Firm's Los Angeles office, where he focuses on the investigation, initiation, and litigation, of complex securities cases brought on behalf of institutional and individual investors.

Mr. Prongay earned his Bachelor of Arts degree in Economics from the University of Southern California in 2005 and earned his Juris Doctor degree from Seton Hall University School of Law in 2008. While attending law school, Mr. Prongay worked as a summer associate at the Firm, and interned for a federal magistrate judge for the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey. Mr. Prongay is admitted to the State Bar of California, as well as the United States District Courts for the Central, Northern and Southern Districts of California, and the District of Colorado.

LOUIS BOYARSKY joined Glancy Binkow & Goldberg LLP in 2010. Louis received his JD/MBA from Loyola Law School, Los Angeles and Loyola Marymount University's Graduate School of Business. While in law school, Louis served as a staff writer for the *Loyola of Los Angeles Entertainment Law Review*. The *Law Review* published his article: *Stealth Celebrity Testimonials of Prescription Drugs: Placing the Consumer in Harm's Way and How the FDA has Dropped the Ball*. Additionally, while in law school, Louis externed for the Honorable Suzanne H. Segal, magistrate judge for the Central District of California.

Louis is a member of the St. Thomas More Legal Honor Society, the Alpha Sigma Nu National Jesuit Honor Society and the Beta Gamma Sigma Business Honor Society. Louis is admitted to practice before the state of California and the United States District Court for the Central District of California.

CASEY E. SADLER is a native of New York, New York. After graduating from the University of Southern California, Gould School of Law, Mr. Sadler joined Glancy Binkow & Goldberg LLP in 2010. While attending law school, Mr. Sadler externed for the Enforcement Division of the Securities and Exchange Commission, spent a summer working for P.H. Parekh & Co, one of the leading appellate law firms in New Delhi, India, and was a member of USC's Hale Moot Court Honors Program. Mr. Sadler holds a B.A. in Political Science from Emory University and was admitted to the State Bar of California in December 2010.

ELIZABETH M. GONSIOROWSKI graduated with honors from Vassar College, where she received a BA in Cognitive Science. As a student at Brooklyn Law School, she interned with the Honorable Ramon Reyes in the Eastern District of New York. After graduating from Brooklyn Law in 2008, she was awarded a fellowship to work with the World Intellectual Property Organization at the United Nations. She is admitted to practice in California, New York and New Jersey.